



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/566,531

07/31/2006

Stefan Fechtel

1406/324

5903

25297 7590 05/26/2010
JENKINS, WILSON, TAYLOR & HUNT, P. A.
Suite 1200 UNIVERSITY TOWER
3100 TOWER BLVD.,
DURHAM, NC 27707

EXAMINER

HUANG, DAVID S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2611

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

05/26/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/566,531	Applicant(s) FECHTEL ET AL.	
	Examiner DAVID HUANG	Art Unit 2611	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 April 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-6 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-6 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vandenameele-Lepla (US 2003/0128751 - hereinafter Lepla) in view of Hui et al. (US 6,674,820), applicant's admitted prior art (specification, pages 2-3, Fig. 2; hereinafter "APA"), and Dupree (US 5,175,558).

Regarding claim 1, Lepla discloses a weighting circuit for a receiver which is provided for receiving a multicarrier signal comprising carrier signals (carrier-specific weighting factors of a multi-carrier signal in a receiver, page 2, [0013]),

wherein the weighting circuit weights the carrier signals such that the spurious signal energy is of equal magnitude for all weighted carrier signals (value of each carrier-specific weighting factor is inversely proportional to a noise power associated with the carrier, page 3, [0021]; weights are the inverse of the noise power for a carrier page 6, [0051]; thus, if the

Art Unit: 2611

weights are the inverse of the noise power, then the noise after application of the weights to each carrier would be 1, i.e. $[x * (1/x) = 1]$).

However, Lepla fails to expressly disclose (i) a memory storing a plurality of weighting coefficient sets, and

(ii) a selector selecting one of the plurality of weighting coefficient sets stored in the memory on the basis of an expected spurious signal energy in the received signal,

(iii) an estimation unit calculating said expected spurious signal energy using cross correlation between the received signal and a spurious signal to be expected which has been phase-shifted through 90° ,

(iv) wherein said expected spurious signal energy is set externally; and

(v) wherein the memory is programmable via an interface connected to said memory through a plurality of internal data lines.

Nevertheless, Lepla discloses the values of the carrier-dependent weights are controlled to account for the effect of frequency-dependent (non-white) noise in the system (page 6, [0050]; non-white = frequency dependent, page 2, [0013]).

With respect to items (i), (ii) and (iv), Hui et al. discloses systems for receiving signals subject to colored noise (title, Fig. 4). Hui et al. discloses systems for estimating the color of the baseband noise by selection of the best result among a plurality of candidate noise color assumptions (col. 3, lines 37-41). The color characteristic of the colored noise may be an auto-correlation of the colored noise (col. 3, lines 55-60). Whitening filters are determined in advance for each candidate auto-correlation value and saved in memory, and the predetermined whitening filter is selected at block 515 (col. 10, lines 4-7). Thus, candidate auto-correlation values

Art Unit: 2611

(expected spurious signal energy), is associated with different whitening filters stored in memory (weights). Hui further discloses auto-correlation memory 420 for storing candidate auto-correlation values corresponding to disturbances $v(n)$ (col. 9, lines 40-67, Figs. 4 and 5; see also col. 2, lines 15-40 for auto-correlation of disturbance $v(n)$; equation 2). Thus, the auto-correlation memory 420 sets the expected/candidate auto-correlation values external to the channel estimator 415.

Because both Lepla and Hui et al. disclose receiving apparatus and methods for accounting for colored (non-white noise) by selecting a set of weighting factors/filter (coefficients), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute one teaching for the other, for the predictable result of storing different sets of factors/filter (coefficients) in memory and selecting one based on the color characteristic of the non-white/colored noise, and setting the candidate auto-correlation values external from the channel estimator.

With respect to item (iii), APA discloses a prior art receiver with an estimation unit that calculates the spurious signal by cross-correlation between the received signal (via the ADC) and spurious signals which are to be expected which has been phase-shifted through 90° (page 3, lines 8-9).

Furthermore, Hui et al. also discloses auto-correlation of the colored noise is calculated according to equation 8 (col. 8) with an autocorrelation lag l , such that the two signals multiplied together are time-delayed (phase-shifted) versions of the signal.

Because both APA and the combination of Lepla and Hui et al. disclose correlation calculations between delayed signal to determine noise signal energy, it would have been

Art Unit: 2611

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute one teaching for the other for the predictable result of generating a signal by cross-correlating a received signal with an expected spurious signal phase shifted by 90° .

With respect to item (v), Lepla discloses a noise power spectrum measured off-chip and values for the carrier dependent weights programmed into weight source 310, page 6, [0051], Fig. 3).

Dupree discloses weight memory 66, connected to sequential update 56, internal to system 24 (Fig. 2).

Because both Lepla and Dupree discloses updating/programming weight memory/storage, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute one weight memory update scheme for the other, for the predictable result of a memory updated via internal data lines.

Regarding claim 2, Lepla, Hui et al., and APA disclose everything applied to claim 1, and Hui et al. further discloses the weighting circuit has at least one multiplier which multiplies an associated carrier signal by a stored weighting coefficient from the selected weighting coefficient set (FIR whitening filter multiplies filter coefficients $\{h(k)\}$ and signal $r(n)$, col. 9, lines 3-10).

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Lepla discloses everything applied to claim 3, and further discloses the multicarrier signal is broken down into carrier signals by a computation circuit that is a Fast Fourier Transformation circuit (FFT 126, Fig. 1).

Regarding claim 7, Lepla discloses everything applied to claim 1, and further discloses the expected spurious energy can be set externally (noise power spectrum measured off-chip and

Art Unit: 2611

values for the carrier dependent weights programmed into weight source 310, page 6, [0051], Fig. 3).

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Vandenameele-Lepla (US 2003/0128751 - hereinafter Lepla) in view of Hui et al. (US 6,674,820) and applicant's admitted prior art (specification, pages 2-3, Fig. 2; hereinafter "APA") and Dupree (US 5,175,558) as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of Nasserbakht (US 6,122,703).

Regarding claim 6, Lepla, Hui et al., and APA disclose everything applied to claim 5, but fail to expressly disclose the carrier signal broken down by the computation circuit are buffer-stored in a buffer store.

However, FFT output buffers are well known in the art, as evidenced by Nasserbakht (FFT output buffer 326, col. 10, lines 49-56, Fig. 3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to provide an output buffer for storing the output of the FFT circuit, since FFT output buffers are well known in the art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DAVID HUANG whose telephone number is (571)270-1798. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 5:00 p.m., EST.

Art Unit: 2611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shuwang Liu can be reached on (571) 272-3036. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DSH/dsh
5/22/2010
/David Huang/
Examiner, Art Unit 2611
/Shuwang Liu/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2611